ILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COCHMCHAR IS 2008 LE

James G. Turner . EL. Plaintiff

Roger Walker, Ur., et al., Defendantes.

Case No. 08 ev 27-12 The Hunorable James B. Zagal.

U.S. Judge presiding.

MOTION IN SUPPORT OF MUTION DEMANDING LAW LIBRARIAN TO COPY A HIS DOCUMENTS AS REQUESTED IN THIS ACTION &

COME NOW, the plaintiff James G. Turner- xx, Pro Se, and respectfully move this Honorable Court to enter an Order demanding law librarian copy his documents as reguested in this action.

IN SUPPORT, plaintiff states:

1. Ex- Correctional Guard Hs. K. Schorn/ Head Librarian knows nothing about the law, and has made an inmate Low Clerk named Larry her mentor, as such, inmete Law Clark decides, 1) Who should be granted access to the law library; a) Who has a Court Ordered Deadline; and 3) How much access to library inmate is antitled; 4) How many copies of documents should be copied; and 5) Repeatedly refuses to give copies as reguested, and 6) Proper number of legal

Al And, order Authorizing Clerk to make copy of this accument and serve plaintiff accordingly.

envelopes to mail out legal documents so all parties are served. Thus, how Clerk Larry and Ms. K. Schorn are basically prosecuting all prisoners causes of action as Larry thinks best, and of which Ms. Schorn will always agree.

- 2. Following this Court's dismissal of the instant action complaint on July 10, 2008, prison was placed on lockdown status, as such, plaintiff had no access at all to Lew Library services, in any event, he prepared a Motion for Relief From Judgement that he was unable to have copied due to lockdown status, and he submitted same on the Clerk of the Court on July 25, 2008, to date, no copy has been returned and no court Order regarding said motion.
- 3. Plaintiff also prepared a Notice of Appeal, Motions To Proceed In Forma Pauperis on Appeal; Transmission of Record on Appeal; Docketing Statement; Jurisdictional Statement, Notice/Proof of Service and (32) Page Innate Transaction Sheet of his Prison Account, he submitted to immobe law Clerk, prior to lackdown of the prison on July 16, 2668.
 - 4. However, documents were not returned til August 6, 2008, uncopied, plaintiff sent copy of

^{1/} No adenowledgement of receipt of said Motion by the Clerk of the Court

this Court's July 10, Jees Order, as such, law creek Larry determined and Ms Schorn agreed, that where your Honor, quoters Judge Moran ordering the Clerk not to accept any further documents for filing and to return same to plaintiff unfiled, to mean, that plaintiff eould not appeal this Court's judgement of the instant action complaint.

5. Plaintiff filled out another legal request and gove right back to law clerk who delivered some on August 6, 2008, Specifically, advising Ms. Schorn that he was appealing judgement, and it was a Rush Order as some was due August 10, 2008, plaintiff requested Notice of Appeal, etc., be copied 5 times Ms. Schon returned documents uncopied August 7, 2008, sending plaintiff some blank paper and a ink pen, advising he duplicate more copies by hand (See Legal Request of August 6, 2008). She advises she made (1) copy of Innate Transaction Sheet, but, she didn't, because, she claims plaintiff had not presigned money voucher for the costs of copies, and only gave one onvelope for mailing though plaintiff sought to serve Office of the Illinois State Attorney General Ms. Lisa Madigan, once this Court

or, if this Honored Court enters an adverse rating on his Motion for Relief From Judgement.

6. In the meantime, plaintiff wants his Notice of Appeal, etc., photocopied, just in ease he decides to pursue appeal following an adverse ruling on Mution for Relief From Judgement. And, he needs this Court to specifically Order Ms. Salvorn to photocopy the plaintiff's legal documents as requested, and not to try and prosecute his case is for him. Ms. Schorn is angered because she thinks plaintiff is swing her. Plaintiff does have a complaint against but, she'll never photocopy it, and continually interferes in all his pending and contemplated litigation, as she won't copy grievance complaints and other exhibits to be attached to various documents, wor, copy documents as requested.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays that this Itonorable Court enter order demanding Ms. K. Schorn hibrarian photocopy his pleadings as requested. And cleak to Farnish copy. Respectfully submitted,

James G. Turner &

P.O. Box 711 Menora 71

Menora, II. 62759

STATEMENT

However, Judge Moran clearly dismissed Case No. 86 C 8929 with prejudice. Judge Moran had no intention to retain jurisdiction over the settlement and the terms of the settlement were not incorporated into the judgment.

(Judge Moran also wrote in that order:

Moreover, Turner-El periodically resurfaces with a motion to enforce the settlement agreement in this action, which has long been closed and the appeal of which was dismissed years ago. The court therefore orders the clerk not to accept any further documents for filing in this case and to return any such documents to Turner-El unfiled.

A claim for breach of a contract, part of the consideration for which was dismissal of an earlier federal suit, does not state a basis for federal court jurisdiction over a contract dispute. Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of America, 511 U.S. 375, 381 (1994). Any claim Plaintiff may wish to make as to the alleged breach of the settlement agreement must be brought in state court. See id. at 382. This Court has no opinion as to the merits of any such action Plaintiff might bring in state court.

The Seventh Circuit has long since held that a prisoner does not have a liberty or property interest in a prison job assignment. Wallace v. Robinson, 940 F.2d 243 (7th Cir. 1991) (en banc). Even the language in 730 ILCS 5/3-8-7(b)(2) and (e)(6) does not create a liberty or property interest in a job. Id. at 248. Although Plaintiff claims that giving him a prison job was part of the settlement agreement, his recourse is in the state courts as this allegedly constitutes a breach of the settlement agreement. The Court emphasizes again that it has no opinion as to whether not providing Plaintiff with a prison job constitutes a breach of the settlement agreement.

Prisoners also have no constitutional right to state/idle pay. See Vanskike v. Peters, 974 F.2d 806, 809 (7th Cir. 1992) (prisoners have no constitutional right to state pay). The business administrators therefore could not have misappropriated the idle pay since Plaintiff was not entitled to it.

Accordingly, finding no arguable legal basis for the complaint, the Court dismisses this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915A(b)(1). This dismissal counts as one of Plaintiff's three allotted dismissals under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). See Duvall v. Miller, 122 F.3d 489 (7th Cir. 1997) (dismissal as frivolous was "strike" even though inmate did not proceed in forma pauperis). Plaintiff is warned that if a prisoner has had a total of three federal cases or appeals dismissed as frivolous, malicious, or failing to state a claim, he may not file suit in federal court without prepaying the filing fee unless he is in imminent danger of serious physical injury. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Push Orderased INMATE RE	08-cv-02/42-1206e0me	ent 26 AFRE d-08/12 LIBRARY ASSIS	72000 Page 6.of.7 TANCE	tomorow
CEN. DIV. ()	P.C. () SEG. (V)	DATE: 8/	6/08	
INMATE NAME: Tur	ner- El ID	1-01161 J-I need	cell: NT- 2-3.	2 v 8/10/08
Copies 2 ahu	y can the conv	4		
FORMS REQUESTED (SP. CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL OF THE CASE LAW/STATUTES R	actify state or fee	- money your	I made for a her not sign	med to
	equested:			
COMMENTS:	rovidedi	1	Returned	2 08-07-09
DCA 30620 IL 4: (Revised Dec 98)		ATE FILLED: O	8-07-08 +3	\$

Offender Authorization for Payment

Posting Document #	Date	6 108
Offender Name James 6. Treated M.	100 N- cuci	Housing Unit N/II * 34-30
Pay to DOC SCH DIST. #428		
Address \ \	<u> </u>	
City, State, Zip	ies yn	de
The sum of	dollars and	cents charged to my trust fund
account, for the purpose of	April one	epytoust and
☐ I hereby authorize palment of postage for the attached ma	il. 🔲 I hereby request inf	ormation on electronic
Offender Signature 101519	funds transfers to b	e placed in the attached mail.
Witness Signature		
☐ Approved ☐ Not Approved Chief Administrative Officer S	ignature	
Postage applied in the amount of dollars	and cents.	
Distribution: Business Office, Offender, Mail Room		DOC 0296 (Eff. 1/2006) (Replaces DC 826)